

45th Annual Meeting of the Infectious Diseases Society of America; October 4-7, 2007 San Diego, CA

Switching from Lopinavir/Ritonavir (LPV/r) Soft Gel Capsule (SGC) to Tablet Formulation improves Tolerability in Indigent AIDS Clinic

¹Ighovwerha Ofotokun, MD, MSc., ²Susan K, Chuck, Pharm.D., ¹Maria Rivas, M.D., ²Richard Rode, PhD^{, 2}Kelly O'Neil, Pharm.D.





ABSTRACT

- Background: LPV/r tablets compared to SGC have no oleic acid or sorbitol, have no refrigeration/food requirements, and have less pharmacokinetic variability. It was our objective to evaluate tolerability, guality of life (QoL), and lipid differences after switching from LPV/r SGC to Tablet.
- Methods: Seventy-four HIV-infected subjects on LPV/rbased regimens were enrolled prior to (25/74) or within 8wks (49/74) after switching from LPV/r SGC to Tablet. Formulation preference and satisfaction were assessed post-switch. Tolerability assessments included bowel habit (BH), global condition improvement (GCI), and ACTG symptom distress module (ASDM). Tolerability, QoL, and fasting lipids pre-switch were compared to Wk4 and Wk12. Baseline QoL and BH were recalled for those subjects enrolled post-switch.
- Results: At Wk4, more patients preferred LPV/r Tablet to SGC (74% vs. 8%) and satisfaction with the Tablet formulation was expressed. Significant improvement in BH was reported at Wk4 (mean change in BH-score: -0.281, p=0.002) and maintained through Wk12 (p=0.014). Overall LPV/r tolerability improved with the switch. At Wk4. 45% of subjects felt "better". 45% felt "about the same", 5% "worse", and 5% did not respond. These GCI-improvements were maintained through Wk12 (p<0.0001). Correlation was seen between the BH-score and GCI-improvement at Wk4 (p=0.017) and waned by Wk12. ASDM and QoL were unchanged at Wk4 and Wk12. Interestingly, a mean reduction in trialvcerides of 33ma/dl (18%), unrelated to lipid-lowering therapy, was observed at Wk12 (n=33, p=0.035).
- Conclusions: Switching from LPV/r SGC to Tablet resulted in significant improvement in gastrointestinal tolerability with a resulting positive impact on subjects' overall well being (GCI). QoL was maintained. The observed 18% reduction in triglyceride level deserves further evaluation.

BACKGROUND

The new LPV/r 200/50 mg tablet formulation has been well received by clinicians and patients because of its reduced daily pill burden from six SGC to four tablets, lack of special food requirements needed to achieve desired plasma drug exposure, and its stability at room temperature, eliminating the need for refrigeration [1-2]. Contact: Emory University, ID Division, 69 Jesse Hill Jr. Drive, Atlanta, GA, 30303, USA: jofotok@emory.edu

Table 1. Subject demographic data at study entry

BACKGROUND CONTINUED

RESULTS

- Because the new tablet formulation lacks oleic acid [3], an excipient believed to contribute to gastrointestinal (GI) intolerance with the SGC, it has been speculated that the tolerability of LPV/r would improve with the use of the tablet form.
- In this study, a phase IV tolerability assessment was conducted in HIV-infected subjects who were switched from the SGC to the tablet formulation of LPV/r. Selfreported daily bowel habit, guality of life (QOL), and fasting lipid profile obtained prior to the switch were compared to similar data obtained 12-weeks post-formulation change.

METHODS

This was a prospective cohort study that enrolled clinically stable HIV-infected subjects receiving LPV/r-based antiretroviral (ARV) regimen.

	Daily bowel moveme	ent hab
ears	was evaluated prior	to swit
in	and at weeks 4 & 12	using
witch ction ding	instrument that asse ✓ Frequency ✓ Consistency ✓ Volume ✓ Presence of blow stool	

Intervention
QOL instruments were
administered prior to switch
and weeks 4 & 12:
✓MOS HIV health survey
✓ Global condition
improvement questionnaire
Medication satisfaction survey
Therapy preference survey
✓ ACTG Symptom Distress
Module (ASDM) –

Screening

HIV-subjects age >18 ye

Enrolled prior to, or with

8 weeks of formulation s

No CD4 cell count restri

No pregnancy/breastfee

supplemented with two questions to assess symptoms related to nephrolithiasis

	Study population (n = 74)
Male sex [No. (%)]	61 (82.43)
Race	
African American [No. (%)]	55 (74.32)
White [No. (%)]	17 (22.97)
Hispanic [No. (%)]	2 (2.70)
†On LPV/r tablet at entry	
No [No. (%)]	49 (66%)
Yes [No. (%)]	25 (34%)
On anti-diarrheal drug	
No [No. (%)]	67 (92)
Yes [No. (%)]	6 (8)
On lipid lowering drug	
No [No. (%)]	54 (74)
Yes [No. (%)]	19 (26)
Median age [years (75% percentile)]	43 (39-47)
Median weight [Kg (75% percentile)]	80.5 (69.6-88.6)
Median HIV-1 RNA [copies/ml (75% percentile)]	0.135 (0.05-0.70)
Median CD4 T-cell counts [(cell/µl 75% percentile)]	294 (157-455)
LPV/r, lopinavir/ritonavir; SGC, soft gel capsule; †Subjects were already switche enrollment; 75% percentile, 25 th to 75 th percentile.	d from LPV/r SGC to tablets within 8 weeks prior t

Quality of life instruments	Baseline	Change (Base	line to Week 4)	Change (Baseline to Week 1	
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	P-value	Mean ± SD	P-valu
MOS-HIV Physical Health Summary Score (PHS)	48.2 ± 11.5	0.015 <u>+</u> 9.00	0.97	0.315 <u>+</u> 9.20	0.79
MOS-HIV Mental Health Summary Score (MHS)	50.7 ± 12.0	0.366 <u>+</u> 9.07	0.74	0.313 <u>+</u> 10.01	0.81
ACTG Symptoms Distress Module (ASDM)	26.7 ± 19.8	-2.99 <u>+</u> 16.34	0.14	-2.92 <u>+</u> 16.48	0.17
Center for Epidemiology Studies-Depression (CES-D)	14.5 ± 10.2	-1.12 <u>+</u> 8.00	0.25	-0.753 <u>+</u> 8.47	0.49
		Mean (Week 4)	Mean (Week 12)	
Medication satisfaction survey (MSS)		9.01 <u>+</u> 2.27	NA	8.69 <u>+</u> 2.25	NA
Global Condition improvement (GCI)		2.24 <u>+</u> 3.05	<0.0001	2.46 <u>+</u> 3.30	<0.0001
Therapy preference: Prefer LPV/r Tablet [No. (%)] Prefer LPV/r SGC [No. (%)] No preference [No. (%)]		55 (78%) 6 (9%) 9 (13%)	<0.0001	46 (74%) 6 (10%) 10 (16%)	<0.0001

Variables	Baseline to We	ek 4	Baseline to Week 12		
	Improvement rate	P-value	Improvement rate	P-valu	
Decrease in stool frequency among those reporting change	18/28 <u>+</u> 64.3%	0.13	18/32 <u>*</u> 56.3%	0.48	
Improved stool consistency among those reporting change	23/30 ± 76.75%	0.0035	19/27 ± 70.4%	0.0343	
Decrease in stool volume among those reporting change	11/16 <u>+</u> 68.8%	0.13	8/13 <u>+</u> 61.5%	0.41	
Resolution of blood in stool among those reporting change	5/6 <u>+</u> 83.3%	0.10	4/6 <u>+</u> 66.7%	0.41	
	Baseline to Week 4 (n= 70)		Baseline to Week 12 (n=62)		
Overall change in bowel habit score (BHS) [mean ± SD]	-0.281 <u>+</u> 0.719	0.0017	-0.227 <u>+</u> 0.707	0.0141	

Self-reported hower hole score (HRS) was assessed on a scale in which stool constitutincy was (solid +1, loose -4, watery-o6); volume (small +1) moderated. Upgeveck), blood (in-4), volume (small +1), For example, a subject with baseline responses c HB (dd, Materia, no blood, and frequency of "2" would have a score of; (+3 + + 2)/4 = 1.75 for thar baseline summary score. Therefore the scale has a minimum of 1 (best BHS ductional) and a manument of years (Bord Society).

RESULTS CONTINUED

	Lipid Lowering Drug	Baseline Mean (SD)	Week 12 Mean (SD)	Mean change (SD)	P-value
TC	No (n =38)	188 (35.1)	179 (34.7)	-9.20 (23.20)	0.0197*
	Yes (n=16)	221 (66.2)	218 (55.8)	-2.94 (44.30)	0.795
	Total population (n=54)	198 (48.4)	190 (45.3)	-7.33 (30.70)	0.0848
TRIG	No (n=33)	187 (117)	154 (111)	-33.10 (86.30)	0.035*
	Yes (n=14)	410 (410)	329 (304)	-81.20 (348.30)	0.399
	Total population (n=47)	254 (260)	206 (203)	-47.40 (199.90)	0.1108
HDL-C	No (n=33)	47.0 (11.3)	42.6 (11.9)	-4.50 (9.40)	0.012*
	Yes (n=14)	35.1 (15.9)	36.8 (15.8)	1.70 (9.50)	0.490
	Total population (n=47)	43.2 (14.0)	40.7 (13.4)	-2.49 (9.78)	0.877
LDL-C	No (n=33)	106 (29.4)	102 (24.4)	-4.20 (21.80)	0.283
	Yes (n=14)	123 (54.5)	127 (32.3)	3.60 (48.60)	0.788
	Total population (n=47)	111 (38.8)	110 (29.0)	-1.85 (31.80)	0.692

DISCUSION AND CONCLUSIONS

- At Week 4, more patients preferred LPV/r Tablet to SGC (74% vs. 8%) and satisfaction with the Tablet formulation was expressed. Significant improvement in bowel habit was reported at Week 4 (mean change in BH-score: -0.281, p=0.002) and maintained through Week 12 (p=0.014).
- Switching from LPV/r SGC to Tablet resulted in significant improvement in GI tolerability with a resulting positive impact on subjects' overall well being (GCI). Overall LPV/r tolerability improved with the switch. At Week 4, 45% of subjects felt "better". 45% felt "about the same". 5% "worse", and 5% did not respond. QoL was maintained (as measured by GCI-improvements) through Week 12 (p<0.0001). Correlation was seen between the BH-score and GCI-improvement at Week 4 (p=0.017) and waned by Week 12.
- There was not enough evidence to conclude a change in QOL due to the switch in drug formulation as measured by MOS-HIV PHS, MOS-HIV MHS, or ASDM scores (Table 2).
- Interestingly, a mean reduction in triglycerides of 33 mg/dL (18%), unrelated to lipid-lowering therapy, was observed at Week 12 (n=33, p=0.035). This reduction in triglyceride level deserves further evaluation.

REFERENCES

- 1. Collier AC, Ribaudo H, Mukheriee AL, et al, A randomized study of serial telephone call support to increase adherence and thereby improve virologic outcome in persons initiating antiretroviral therapy. J Infect Dis. 2005 Oct 15;192:1398-406.
- Guest JL, Ruffin C, Tschampa JM, Desilva KE, Rimland D. Differences in rates of diarrhea in patients with human immunodeficiency virus receiving lopinavir-ritonavir or nelfinavir. Pharmacotherapy. 2004 Jun;24(6):727-35.
- Awni W,Y-L Chiu,T Zhu, et al. Significantly reduced food effect and pharmacokinetic variability with a novel lopinavir/ritonavir tablet formulation. Abstract WeOa0206. 3rd International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Pathogenesis and Treatment. July 24-27, 2005. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Wu A. MOS-HIV health survey scoring guidelines. Version 2.97.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by resources from the following: An independent research grant from Abbott Laboratories Emory University CFAR, Clinical and Statistical cores (NIH P30 AI050409)

	Т	12
	N E	/s
Clinical labs monitored at	t	h
baseline and at week 12:	t	h
 Fasting Lipid Profile 	ť	h
✓HIV-RNA PCR	f	h
✓CD4 T-cell counts	C)\ R